

**AMENDMENTS TO THE DRAWINGS:**

The attached sheet of drawings includes changes to FIGURE 2, 3, and 7. This sheet, which includes FIGURE 1-8, replaces the original sheet. The amended drawings are included after page 19 of this response.

The amendments to the drawings include the correction of the misspelling of the word "ticket" on FIGURE 7. The reference characters 20, 186, 184, and 242 has also removed the 37 CFR 1.121(b) rejection. All sheets are entitled "Replacement sheets."

Attachment: Replacement sheets

### **REMARKS**

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of June 1, 2005.

Reconsideration of the Application is requested.

Claims 20 and 24-27 have been amended to remove the informalities objected to by the Examiner. Claim 11 has been amended in order to remove the Examiner's objection due to informalities. Claims 1, 19, and 28 have been currently amended to traverse the Examiner's 35 USC § 102 rejections. Claims 9 and 39 have been cancelled. Applicant also traverses Examiner's 35 USC § 102 rejection for claim 28.

#### **Claim 11**

The objection due to informality in claim 11 has been removed by the Applicant's amendment to the claim. Therefore the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his objection and allow these claims.

#### **Claim 20 and 24-27**

Applicant has amended the claims 20 and 24-27 to remove the Examiner's objection because of informality due to lack of antecedent basis for "second job ticket." Therefore the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his objection and allow these claims.

#### **Claim 1, 19 and 28**

Claims 1, 19 and 28 have been amended to include a master job control ticket which can control the printing properties of the tickets under it, with either global or individually targeted instructions, which change the properties of the ticket if selected but only under the master job control ticket. The changes to the master job control ticket are not passed through to the ticket itself, the properties are only changed when the ticket is used with the associated master job control ticket. This allows for changes to the job control tickets under one master job control ticket without globally affecting the settings of that same job control ticket which is also used outside of the master job control ticket under which the changes were made. The specification gives the example of the individually selected attribute being the ability to enable or disable the job ticket without deleting it. It should be appreciated

that it may be undesirable to purge any given ticket from the master job control ticket or the system since a user may seek to revive the "deleted" ticket at a later time.

The prior art does not teach the use of the master job control ticket to "selectively" apply attributes to tickets. Another example of selective application of attributes is where a user programs the master job control ticket to use different margin settings for job control tickets 1, 2, & 3 without changing the margin settings of job control tickets 1, 2, & 3 used elsewhere throughout the system. The master job control ticket will also use the regular settings for job control tickets 4 and 5. This is useful because the changes in the super ticket for the new job would not force a user to make a global change to the job control ticket settings for tickets 1, 2 and 3. By allowing for the use of these selective attribute changes with the master job control ticket, the user can take advantage of the settings in the individual tickets and make modifications to those settings without affecting the settings of the job control tickets in other master job control tickets or in use individually. The prior art does not teach the use of the master job control ticket to selectively set attributes to specific job control tickets. There is no where in the prior art that the selection of 1, 2 and 3 can be performed without also selecting 4 and 5 if they too are included under the master job control ticket. Because of the amendment to claims 1, 19 and 28 the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his rejection and allow these claims.

#### **Claims 9 and 39**

Independent Claims 9 and 39 have been cancelled.

#### **Claims 2-8, 10-18, 20-38 and 40-49**

Dependent claims 2-8, 10-18, 20-38, and 40-49 should also be allowed because of the amendments made to their associated independent claims 1, 19 and 28 have traversed the Examiner's 35 USC § 102 rejections. Therefore the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his rejection and allow these claims.

#### **Claim 28**

The cited prior art Hansen, element 438, does not show global instructions which are associated with job tickets. It shows a printer attribute but it does not

show any association with job tickets or any relationship with those job tickets. Element 438 merely shows printer settings under Book 2. Book 2 does not show any tickets or Documents which are associated with the printer settings. If it did show Documents, they would appear as separate folders from the Printer Settings. As separate folders it could not be inferred that the Printer Settings would affect the settings of the Documents which are themselves in separate Document folders on the same level as the Printer Settings. It can be seen that Book 1 has Document 2 and Document 3. No where in the specification is it suggested that the settings in Document 2 affect the settings of Document 3. By having Documents and Printer Settings at the same level, as Documents 2 & 3 are in 436 of Fig. 4, there is no sharing of properties between the folders which are at the same level. In fact Fig. 4 teaches that the Printer Properties 438 and the Documents are all at the same level and therefore there are no global properties. Therefore the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his rejection and allow this claim.


#### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1-8, 10-38 and 40-49) are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Patrick R. Roche, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,  
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP



Patrick R. Roche, Reg. No. 29,580  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579  
(216) 861-5582

12/1/05  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date